

K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(UGC-AUTONOMOUS)

Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh, India- 516 003

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUA,
Ananthapuramu

An ISO 14001:2004 & 9001: 2015 Certified Institution

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

Stakeholders Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

The Department of Civil Engineering collects feedback from its stakeholders to monitor and evaluate its performance quality on curriculum and curriculum related issues. The feedback forms were collected from students, parents, Alumni, Employer/Industry expert and Teacher on several aspects of curriculum and its learning related issues in terms of quality, competence, skills and professionalism. The report of feedback analysis is submitted to IQAC for necessary action and thereafter the analysis is shared with BoS Committee to take necessary measures and actions as to comply with the issues raised by the Stakeholders in New Curriculum.

**DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
DETAILED FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT**

Feedback Analysis of Students on Curriculum for AY 2022-23

Feedback is collected from students on the following parameters

- Q1. Whether the Curriculum covers latest developments in the field and generates interest?
- Q2. Does curriculum meets local, national and global development needs?
- Q3. How well the curriculum integrates entrepreneurship, employability and skill development?
- Q4. Is curriculum incorporates professional ethics, human values, environment and sustainability?
- Q5. Are the cross-cutting contemporary issues are addressed through value added courses?
- Q6. Does the Institution takes proactive interest in promoting internship/student exchange/field visit opportunities for students?
- Q7. Are the assessment and evaluation procedures are adequate for the curriculum?
- Q8. Whether ICT tools, LMS and learning resources are effectively used for curriculum delivery?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Student Feedback analysis report on R18UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	58.82	27.06	10.59	3.53	0.00	4.45	Excellent
Q2	60.00	20.00	15.29	4.71	0.00	4.35	Excellent
Q3	56.47	29.41	11.76	2.35	0.00	4.40	Excellent
Q4	60.00	17.65	16.47	5.88	0.00	4.32	Excellent
Q5	63.53	23.53	10.59	2.35	0.00	4.48	Excellent
Q6	64.71	21.18	9.41	4.71	0.00	4.46	Excellent
Q7	61.18	24.71	14.12	0.00	0.00	4.47	Excellent
Q8	63.53	16.47	17.65	2.35	0.00	4.41	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Students on R18UG Curriculum for AY 2022-23

[Signature]

Head
 Department of Civil Engineering
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 (Autonomous)
 KADAPA - 516 003. (A.P.)

[Signature]

IQAC

Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003


V. S. S. Mmkg
 PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P.)

Table 2: Student Feedback analysis report on R20UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	57.83	26.51	12.05	3.61	0.00	4.39	Excellent
Q2	59.04	21.69	13.25	6.02	0.00	4.34	Excellent
Q3	61.45	27.71	8.43	2.41	0.00	4.48	Excellent
Q4	55.42	20.48	16.87	7.23	0.00	4.24	Excellent
Q5	62.65	21.69	13.25	2.41	0.00	4.45	Excellent
Q6	61.45	22.89	10.84	4.82	0.00	4.41	Excellent
Q7	59.04	25.30	15.66	0.00	0.00	4.43	Excellent
Q8	57.83	21.69	18.07	2.41	0.00	4.35	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Students on R20UG Curriculum for AY 2022-23

[Signature]
Head

Department of Civil Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
(Autonomous)
KADAPA - 516 003. (A.P.)

[Signature]
IQAC

Co-ordinator

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P.)

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Parents on Curriculum for AY 2022-23

Feedback is collected from Parents on the following parameters

- Q1. How is the content and coverage of the curriculum?
- Q2. To what extent is the adequacy of the core courses?
- Q3. How is the applicability of the elective courses?
- Q4. What extent is the practical content in the curriculum?
- Q5. What is the ability to cope with the current job challenges?
- Q6. Does the curriculum adopts new technologies?
- Q7. Is the student is able to handle projects/assignments as an individual?
- Q8. Does the student has the ability to work in a team?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Parents Feedback analysis report on R18UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q2	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q3	58.33	41.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.58	Excellent
Q4	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q5	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q6	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q7	58.33	41.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.58	Excellent
Q8	58.33	41.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.58	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Parents on R18UG Curriculum for AY 2022-23

[Signature]
Head

Department of Civil Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
(Autonomous)
KADAPA - 516 003. (A.P.)

[Signature]
IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003


[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Table 2: Parents Feedback analysis report on R20UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q2	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q3	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q4	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q5	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q6	75.00	16.67	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q7	58.33	33.33	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q8	66.67	25.00	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.58	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Parents on R20UG Curriculum for AY 2022-23

[Signature]
Head

Department of Civil Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
(Autonomous)
KADAPA - 516 003. (A.P.)

[Signature]
IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P.)

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Alumni on Curriculum for AY 2022-23

Feedback is collected from Alumni on the following parameters

- Q1. How much is the depth of the course content?
- Q2. Does the curriculum is relevant and updated?
- Q3. In what way is the Curriculum applicable to employability/higher education opportunity?
- Q4. Are learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives) are enhanced?
- Q5. Whether the knowledge is sufficient to tackle the competitive world?
- Q6. Whether the syllabus exists practical exposer?
- Q7. Whether it serves current industry needs?
- Q8. How is the quality standard of the curriculum?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Alumni Feedback analysis report on R18UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	75.00	16.67	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q2	66.67	16.67	8.33	8.33	0.00	4.42	Excellent
Q3	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q4	58.33	41.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.58	Excellent
Q5	75.00	16.67	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q6	66.67	25.00	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.58	Excellent
Q7	75.00	16.67	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q8	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R18UG Curriculum for AY 2022-23

[Signature]
Head

Department of Civil Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
(Autonomous)
KADAPA - 516 003. (A.P.)

[Signature]
IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC),
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003


V. S. S. Mmly
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Table 2: Alumni Feedback analysis report on R20UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	75.00	16.67	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q2	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q3	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q4	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q5	75.00	16.67	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q6	66.67	25.00	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.58	Excellent
Q7	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q8	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R20UG Curriculum for AY 2022-23

[Signature]
Head

Department of Civil Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
(Autonomous)
KADAPA - 516 003. (A.P.)

[Signature]
IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Employer/Industry Expert on Curriculum for AY 2022-23

Feedback is collected from Employer/Industry Expert on the following parameters

- Q1. To what extent the curriculum designed is relevant and meets the requirements of the Industry?
- Q2. Is the curriculum offered by the college satisfy sufficient Technical knowledge for the Profession?
- Q3. Will it be knowledgeable on recent tools and their usage?
- Q4. Serving the Industry needs in terms of leadership, team building and interpersonal skills.
- Q5. How extent are the practical skills?
- Q6. Are the adaptabilities to change and lifelong learning?
- Q7. Does the curriculum moulds the graduates with right attitude, values and ethics?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Employer/Industry Expert Feedback analysis report on R18UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q2	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q3	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q4	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q5	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q6	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q7	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



**Feedback Analysis of Employer/Industry Expert on R18UG Curriculum for
AY 2022-23**

[Signature]
Head

Department of Civil Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
(Autonomous)
KADAPA - 516 003. (A.P.)

[Signature]
IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003


[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Table 2: Employer/Industry Expert Feedback analysis report on R20UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q2	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q3	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q4	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q5	66.67	16.67	16.67	0.00	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q6	66.67	16.67	16.67	0.00	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q7	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Employer/Industry Expert on R20UG Curriculum for

AY 2022-23

[Signature]
Head

Department of Civil Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
(Autonomous)
KADAPA - 516 003. (A.P.)

[Signature]
IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P.)

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Teacher on Curriculum for AY 2022-23

Feedback is collected from Teacher on the following parameters

- Q1. Whether the curriculum builds necessary skills and achieves course objectives?
- Q2. By what means! the curriculum is applicable or relevance to real life situations?
- Q3. Are the learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives) are achieved?
- Q4. Is life skills education and value added courses are offered to enrich the curriculum?
- Q5. Is content relevant to the requirements of your profession?
- Q6. Does the curriculum fulfil local, national and global needs?
- Q7. How well-suited are the curriculum's assessment and evaluation processes?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Teacher Feedback analysis report on R18UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	88.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	Excellent
Q2	84.00	16.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.84	Excellent
Q3	84.00	16.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.84	Excellent
Q4	88.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	Excellent
Q5	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q6	88.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	Excellent
Q7	84.00	16.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.84	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Teacher on R18UG Curriculum for AY 2022-23

[Handwritten Signature]

Head
 Department of Civil Engineering
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 (Autonomous)
 KADAPA - 516 003. (A.P.)

[Handwritten Signature]
 IQAC

Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003


[Handwritten Signature]
 PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Table 2: Teacher Feedback analysis report on R20UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	92.00	8.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.92	Excellent
Q2	88.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	Excellent
Q3	84.00	16.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.84	Excellent
Q4	84.00	16.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.84	Excellent
Q5	88.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	Excellent
Q6	88.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	Excellent
Q7	84.00	16.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.84	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Teacher on R20UG Curriculum for AY 2022-23

[Signature]
Head

Department of Civil Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
(Autonomous)
KADAPA - 516 003. (A.P.)

[Signature]
IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSR M College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)



K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(UGC-AUTONOMOUS)

Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh, India- 516 003

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUA,
Ananthapuramu

An ISO 14001:2004 & 9001: 2015 Certified Institution

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Stakeholders Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

The Department of ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING collects feedback from the stakeholders to monitor and evaluate the quality of curriculum and curriculum related issues. The feedback forms were collected from students, parents, Alumni, Employer/Industry expert and Teacher on several aspects of curriculum and its learning related issues in terms of quality, competence, skills and professionalism. The report of feedback analysis is submitted to IQAC for necessary action and thereafter the analysis is shared with BoS Committee to take necessary measures and actions as to comply with the issues raised by the Stakeholders in New Curriculum.

**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
DETAILED FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT**

Feedback Analysis of Students on Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

Feedback is collected from students on the following parameters

- Q1. Whether the Curriculum covers latest developments in the field and generates interest?
- Q2. Does curriculum meets local, national and global development needs?
- Q3. How well the curriculum integrates entrepreneurship, employability and skill development?
- Q4. Is curriculum incorporates professional ethics, human values, environment and sustainability?
- Q5. Are the cross-cutting contemporary issues are addressed through value added courses?
- Q6. Does the Institution takes proactive interest in promoting internship/student exchange/field visit opportunities for students?
- Q7. Are the assessment and evaluation procedures are adequate for the curriculum?
- Q8. Whether ICT tools, LMS and learning resources are effectively used for curriculum delivery?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Student Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	54.29	28.57	11.43	5.71	0.00	4.31	Excellent
Q2	54.29	31.43	14.29	0.00	0.00	4.40	Excellent
Q3	48.57	37.14	14.29	0.00	0.00	4.34	Excellent
Q4	45.71	31.43	17.14	5.71	0.00	4.17	Excellent
Q5	42.86	28.57	20.00	8.57	0.00	4.06	Excellent
Q6	42.86	31.43	20.00	5.71	0.00	4.11	Excellent
Q7	57.14	25.71	17.14	0.00	0.00	4.40	Excellent
Q8	60.00	34.29	5.71	0.00	0.00	4.54	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Students on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

S. Prasad
 HEAD
 Department of Electrical &
 Electronics Engineering
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 Kadapa -516003.

S. Prasad
 IQAC


Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Mmlg
 PRINCIPAL
 PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Table 2: Student Feedback Analysis Report On R20UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	53.13	37.50	9.38	0.00	0.00	4.44	Excellent
Q2	50.00	34.38	15.63	0.00	0.00	4.34	Excellent
Q3	46.88	37.50	15.63	0.00	0.00	4.31	Excellent
Q4	50.00	31.25	18.75	0.00	0.00	4.31	Excellent
Q5	59.38	28.13	12.50	0.00	0.00	4.47	Excellent
Q6	56.25	28.13	15.63	0.00	0.00	4.41	Excellent
Q7	50.00	43.75	6.25	0.00	0.00	4.44	Excellent
Q8	56.25	31.25	12.50	0.00	0.00	4.44	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Students on R20UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

A. S. Jayalekshmi
HEAD

Department of Electrical &
Electronics Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
Kadapa -516003.

S. S. Srinivas
IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Murali
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Parents on Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

Feedback is collected from Parents on the following parameters

- Q1. How is the content and coverage of the curriculum?
Q2. To what extent is the adequacy of the core courses?
Q3. How is the applicability of the elective courses?
Q4. What extent is the practical content in the curriculum?
Q5. What is the ability to cope with the current job challenges?
Q6. Does the curriculum adopts new technologies?
Q7. Is the student is able to handle projects/assignments as an individual?
Q8. Does the student has the ability to work in a team?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Parents Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	84.62	15.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.85	Excellent
Q2	76.92	23.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.77	Excellent
Q3	69.23	30.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent
Q4	84.62	15.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.85	Excellent
Q5	76.92	23.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.77	Excellent
Q6	76.92	23.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.77	Excellent
Q7	84.62	15.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.85	Excellent
Q8	76.92	23.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.77	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Parents on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

[Signature]
HEAD

Department of Electrical &
Electronics Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
Kadapa -516003.

[Signature]
IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003


[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Table 2: Parents Feedback Analysis Report On R20UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	76.92	23.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.77	Excellent
Q2	76.92	23.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.77	Excellent
Q3	84.62	15.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.85	Excellent
Q4	92.31	7.69	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.92	Excellent
Q5	76.92	23.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.77	Excellent
Q6	84.62	15.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.85	Excellent
Q7	76.92	23.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.77	Excellent
Q8	76.92	23.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.77	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Parents on R20UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

(Signature)
HEAD

Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
Kadapa -516003.

(Signature)
IQAC

(Signature)
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516003

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Alumni on Curriculum for the AY 2020-21

Feedback is collected from Alumni on the following parameters

- Q1. How much is the depth of the course content?
- Q2. Does the curriculum is relevant and updated?
- Q3. In what way is the Curriculum applicable to employability/higher education opportunity?
- Q4. Are learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives) are enhanced?
- Q5. Whether the knowledge is sufficient to tackle the competitive world?
- Q6. Whether the syllabus exists practical exposer?
- Q7. Whether it serves current industry needs?
- Q8. How is the quality standard of the curriculum?

The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Alumni Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	64.29	21.43	14.29	0.00	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q2	57.14	28.57	14.29	0.00	0.00	4.43	Excellent
Q3	71.43	28.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.71	Excellent
Q4	64.29	35.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.64	Excellent
Q5	78.57	14.29	7.14	0.00	0.00	4.71	Excellent
Q6	78.57	14.29	7.14	0.00	0.00	4.71	Excellent
Q7	78.57	21.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.79	Excellent
Q8	71.43	28.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.71	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

D. S. Prasad
 HEAD

Department of Electrical &
 Electronics Engineering
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 Kadapa -516003.

S. S. S.
 IQAC

Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003


V. S. S. M. M. L.
 PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Table 2: Alumni Feedback Analysis Report On R20UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	71.43	28.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.71	Excellent
Q2	64.29	35.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.64	Excellent
Q3	71.43	28.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.71	Excellent
Q4	85.71	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q5	78.57	21.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.79	Excellent
Q6	78.57	21.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.79	Excellent
Q7	71.43	28.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.71	Excellent
Q8	71.43	28.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.71	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R20UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

D. S. Prasad
HEAD

Department of Electrical &
Electronics Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516003.

S. S. Prasad
IQAC
Co-ordinator

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. M. M. M. M.
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Employer/Industry Expert on Curriculum for the

AY 2022-23

Feedback is collected from Employer/Industry Expert on the following parameters

- Q1. To what extent the curriculum designed is relevant and meets the requirements of the Industry?
- Q2. Is the curriculum offered by the college satisfy sufficient Technical knowledge for the Profession?
- Q3. Will it be knowledgeable on recent tools and their usage?
- Q4. Serving the Industry needs in terms of leadership, team building and interpersonal skills.
- Q5. How extent are the practical skills?
- Q6. Are the adaptabilities to change and lifelong learning?
- Q7. Does the curriculum moulds the graduates with right attitude, values and ethics?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Employer/Industry Expert Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q2	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q3	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q4	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q5	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q6	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q7	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



**Feedback Analysis of Employer/Industry Expert on R18UG Curriculum for the
AY 2022-23**

D. S. Jayanthi
HEAD

Department of Electrical &
Electronics Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
Kadapa -516003.

V. S. S. Mm/4
PRINCIPAL

[Signature]
IQAC


Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Table 2: Employer/Industry Expert Feedback Analysis Report On R20UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q2	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q3	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q4	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q5	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q6	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q7	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



**Feedback Analysis of Employer/Industry Expert on R20UG Curriculum for the
AY 2022-23**

[Signature]
IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

[Signature]
HEAD
Department of Electrical &
Electronics Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
Kadapa -516003.

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Teacher on Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

Feedback is collected from Teacher on the following parameters

- Q1. Whether the curriculum builds necessary skills and achieves course objectives?
- Q2. By what means! the curriculum is applicable or relevance to real life situations?
- Q3. Are the learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives) are achieved?
- Q4. Is life skills education and value added courses are offered to enrich the curriculum?
- Q5. Is a content relevant to the requirements of your profession?
- Q6. Does the curriculum fulfills local, national and global needs?
- Q7. How well-suited are the curriculum's assessment and evaluation processes?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Teacher Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	92.86	7.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.93	Excellent
Q2	85.71	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q3	92.86	7.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.93	Excellent
Q4	85.71	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q5	85.71	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q6	85.71	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q7	92.86	7.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.93	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Teacher on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

A.S. My
HEAD

Department of Electrical &
Electronics Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
Kadapa -516003.

A.S. My
IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003


V.S.S. Mwl/5
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Table 2: Teacher Feedback Analysis Report On R20UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	78.57	21.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.79	Excellent
Q2	85.71	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q3	92.86	7.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.93	Excellent
Q4	85.71	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q5	78.57	21.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.79	Excellent
Q6	85.71	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q7	85.71	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Teacher on R20UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

D. S. Jay Kumar
HEAD

Department of Electrical &
Electronics Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516003.

J. S. Rao
IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Murthy
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)



K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(UGC-AUTONOMOUS)

Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh, India – 516 003

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUA,
Ananthapuramu

An ISO 14001:2004 & 9001: 2015 Certified Institution

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Stakeholders Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

The Department of Mechanical Engineering collects feedback from the stakeholders to monitor and evaluate the quality of curriculum and curriculum related issues. The feedback forms were collected from students, parents, Alumni, Employer/Industry expert and Teacher on several aspects of curriculum and its learning related issues in terms of quality, competence, skills and professionalism. The report of feedback analysis is submitted to IQAC for necessary action and thereafter the analysis is shared with BoS Committee to take necessary measures and actions as to comply with the issues raised by the Stakeholders in New Curriculum.

**DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING
DETAILED FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT**

Feedback Analysis of Students on Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

Feedback is collected from students on the following parameters

- Q1. Whether the Curriculum covers latest developments in the field and generates interest?
- Q2. Does curriculum meets local, national and global development needs?
- Q3. How well the curriculum integrates entrepreneurship, employability and skill development?
- Q4. Is curriculum incorporates professional ethics, human values, environment and sustainability?
- Q5. Are the cross-cutting contemporary issues are addressed through value added courses?
- Q6. Does the Institution takes proactive interest in promoting internship/student exchange/field visit opportunities for students?
- Q7. Are the assessment and evaluation procedures are adequate for the curriculum?
- Q8. Whether ICT tools, LMS and learning resources are effectively used for curriculum delivery?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Student Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	50.94	33.96	11.32	3.77	0.00	4.32	Excellent
Q2	47.17	37.74	15.09	0.00	0.00	4.32	Excellent
Q3	45.28	37.74	11.32	5.66	0.00	4.23	Excellent
Q4	50.94	33.96	9.43	5.66	0.00	4.30	Excellent
Q5	49.06	35.85	11.32	3.77	0.00	4.30	Excellent
Q6	54.72	32.08	11.32	1.89	0.00	4.40	Excellent
Q7	58.49	28.30	11.32	1.89	0.00	4.43	Excellent
Q8	54.72	28.30	13.21	3.77	0.00	4.34	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Students on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

[Handwritten Signature]

**Professor & Head
Department of Mechanical Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
KADAPA - 516 003.**

[Handwritten Signature]
IQAC

**Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSR M College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003**


[Handwritten Signature]
PRINCIPAL

**PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)**

Table 2: Student Feedback Analysis Report On R20UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	45.83	37.50	16.67	0.00	0.00	4.29	Excellent
Q2	43.75	35.42	16.67	4.17	0.00	4.19	Excellent
Q3	43.75	37.50	18.75	0.00	0.00	4.25	Excellent
Q4	52.08	31.25	10.42	6.25	0.00	4.29	Excellent
Q5	45.83	35.42	10.42	8.33	0.00	4.19	Excellent
Q6	47.92	33.33	16.67	2.08	0.00	4.27	Excellent
Q7	58.33	27.08	12.50	2.08	0.00	4.42	Excellent
Q8	54.17	27.08	14.58	4.17	0.00	4.31	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Students on R20UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

[Signature]
 Professor & Head
 Department of Mechanical Engineering
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 KADAPA-516003

[Signature]
 IQAC

Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

[Signature]
 PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Parents on Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

Feedback is collected from Parents on the following parameters

- Q1. How is the content and coverage of the curriculum?
Q2. To what extent is the adequacy of the core courses?
Q3. How is the applicability of the elective courses?
Q4. What extent is the practical content in the curriculum?
Q5. What is the ability to cope with the current job challenges?
Q6. Does the curriculum adopts new technologies?
Q7. Is the student is able to handle projects/assignments as an individual?
Q8. Does the student has the ability to work in a team?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Parents Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	68.75	31.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent
Q2	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.63	Excellent
Q3	56.25	31.25	12.50	0.00	0.00	4.44	Excellent
Q4	68.75	31.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent
Q5	62.50	31.25	6.25	0.00	0.00	4.56	Excellent
Q6	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.63	Excellent
Q7	68.75	31.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent
Q8	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.63	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Parents on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

[Signature]
IQAC

[Signature]
Professor & head
Department of Mechanical Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL


Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Table 2: Parents Feedback Analysis Report On R20UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q2	68.75	31.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent
Q3	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.63	Excellent
Q4	68.75	31.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent
Q5	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.63	Excellent
Q6	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q7	68.75	31.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent
Q8	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.63	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Parents on R20UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

[Handwritten Signature]

Professor & Head
Department of Mechanical Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
KADAPA - 516 003.

[Handwritten Signature]
IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

[Handwritten Signature]
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P.)

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Alumni on Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

Feedback is collected from Alumni on the following parameters

- Q1. How much is the depth of the course content?
- Q2. Does the curriculum is relevant and updated?
- Q3. In what way is the Curriculum applicable to employability/higher education opportunity?
- Q4. Are learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives) are enhanced?
- Q5. Whether the knowledge is sufficient to tackle the competitive world?
- Q6. Whether the syllabus exists practical exposer?
- Q7. Whether it serves current industry needs?
- Q8. How is the quality standard of the curriculum?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Alumni Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	66.67	22.22	11.11	0.00	0.00	4.56	Excellent
Q2	77.78	22.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.78	Excellent
Q3	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q4	61.11	27.78	11.11	0.00	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q5	66.67	22.22	11.11	0.00	0.00	4.56	Excellent
Q6	77.78	16.67	5.56	0.00	0.00	4.72	Excellent
Q7	61.11	27.78	11.11	0.00	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q8	77.78	22.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.78	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

[Signature]
 Professor & Head
 Department of Mechanical Engineering
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 KADAPA - 516 003.

[Signature]
 IQAC

Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003


[Signature]
 PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Table 2: Alumni Feedback Analysis Report On R20UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	66.67	22.22	11.11	0.00	0.00	4.56	Excellent
Q2	77.78	22.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.78	Excellent
Q3	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q4	61.11	33.33	5.56	0.00	0.00	4.56	Excellent
Q5	66.67	22.22	11.11	0.00	0.00	4.56	Excellent
Q6	55.56	27.78	16.67	0.00	0.00	4.39	Excellent
Q7	61.11	27.78	11.11	0.00	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q8	66.67	22.22	11.11	0.00	0.00	4.56	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R20UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

[Signature]
 Professor & Head
 Department of Mechanical Engineering
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 KADAPA - 516 003.

[Signature]
IQAC

Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Employer/Industry Expert on Curriculum for the

AY 2022-23

Feedback is collected from Employer/Industry Expert on the following parameters

- Q1. To what extent the curriculum designed is relevant and meets the requirements of the Industry?
- Q2. Is the curriculum offered by the college satisfy sufficient Technical knowledge for the Profession?
- Q3. Will it be knowledgeable on recent tools and their usage?
- Q4. Serving the Industry needs in terms of leadership, team building and interpersonal skills.
- Q5. How extent are the practical skills?
- Q6. Are the adaptabilities to change and lifelong learning?
- Q7. Does the curriculum moulds the graduates with right attitude, values and ethics?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Employer/Industry Expert Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q2	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q3	50.00	33.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	4.33	Excellent
Q4	66.67	16.67	16.67	0.00	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q5	66.67	16.67	16.67	0.00	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q6	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q7	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Employer/Industry Expert on R18UG Curriculum for the

AY 2022-23

[Handwritten Signature]

**Professor & Head
Department of Mechanical Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
KADAPA - 516 003.**

[Handwritten Signature]

IQAC

**Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSR M College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003**


V.S.S. Mm/14
PRINCIPAL

**PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)**

Table 2: Employer/Industry Expert Feedback Analysis Report On R20UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q2	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q3	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q4	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q5	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q6	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q7	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Employer/Industry Expert on R20UG Curriculum for the

AY 2022-23

[Signature]
 Professor & Head
 Department of Mechanical Engineering
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 KADAPA-516003.

[Signature]
 IQAC

Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

[Signature]
 PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Teacher on Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

Feedback is collected from Teacher on the following parameters

- Q1. Whether the curriculum builds necessary skills and achieves course objectives?
- Q2. By what means! the curriculum is applicable or relevance to real life situations?
- Q3. Are the learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives) are achieved?
- Q4. Is life skills education and value added courses are offered to enrich the curriculum?
- Q5. Is a content relevant to the requirements of your profession?
- Q6. Does the curriculum fulfills local, national and global needs?
- Q7. How well-suited are the curriculum's assessment and evaluation processes?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Teacher Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	80.95	19.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent
Q2	85.71	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q3	85.71	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q4	80.95	19.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent
Q5	85.71	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q6	90.48	9.52	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.90	Excellent
Q7	90.48	9.52	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.90	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Teacher on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

[Signature]
IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

[Signature]
Professor & Head
Department of Mechanical Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
KADAPA - 516 003.


V.S.S. Mmly
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Table 2: Teacher Feedback Analysis Report On R20UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	76.19	23.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	Excellent
Q2	85.71	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q3	90.48	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.10	Excellent
Q4	80.95	19.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent
Q5	71.43	28.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.71	Excellent
Q6	90.48	9.52	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.90	Excellent
Q7	80.95	19.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Teacher on R20UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

[Signature]
Professor & Head
 Department of Mechanical Engineering
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 KADAPA - 516 003.

[Signature]
IQAC

Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)



K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(UGC-AUTONOMOUS)

Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh, India- 516 003

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUA,
Ananthapuramu

An ISO 14001:2004 & 9001: 2015 Certified Institution

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Stakeholders Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

The Department Of Electronics and Communication Engineering collects feedback from the stakeholders to monitor and evaluate the quality of curriculum and curriculum related issues. The feedback forms were collected from students, parents, Alumni, Employer/Industry expert and Teacher on several aspects of curriculum and its learning related issues in terms of quality , competence, skills and professionalism. The report of feedback analysis is submitted to IQAC for necessary action and thereafter the analysis is shared with BoS Committee to take necessary measures and actions as to comply with the issues raised by the Stakeholders in New Curriculum.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING
DETAILED FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT

Feedback Analysis of Students on Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

Feedback is collected from students on the following parameters

- Q1. Whether the Curriculum covers latest developments in the field and generates interest?
 Q2. Does curriculum meets local, national and global development needs?
 Q3. How well the curriculum integrates entrepreneurship, employability and skill development?
 Q4. Is curriculum incorporates professional ethics, human values, environment and sustainability?
 Q5. Are the cross-cutting contemporary issues are addressed through value added courses?
 Q6. Does the Institution takes proactive interest in promoting internship/student exchange/field visit opportunities for students?
 Q7. Are the assessment and evaluation procedures are adequate for the curriculum?
 Q8. Whether ICT tools, LMS and learning resources are effectively used for curriculum delivery?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Student Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	49.56	30.09	11.50	8.85	0.00	4.20	Excellent
Q2	52.21	29.20	14.16	4.42	0.00	4.29	Excellent
Q3	41.59	27.43	23.01	7.96	0.00	4.03	Excellent
Q4	42.48	30.97	16.81	9.73	0.00	4.06	Excellent
Q5	47.79	30.09	16.81	5.31	0.00	4.20	Excellent
Q6	48.67	31.86	13.27	6.19	0.00	4.23	Excellent
Q7	46.02	30.09	14.16	9.73	0.00	4.12	Excellent
Q8	47.79	27.43	13.27	11.50	0.00	4.12	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Students on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

G. H. M.

**Professor & H.O.D.
Department of E.C.E.
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
KADAPA - 516 003**

S. S. S.
IQAC

**Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003**


U. S. S. M. M. / 14
PRINCIPAL

**PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)**

Table 2: Student Feedback Analysis Report On R20UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	57.84	27.45	11.76	2.94	0.00	4.40	Excellent
Q2	69.61	15.69	12.75	1.96	0.00	4.53	Excellent
Q3	55.88	21.57	18.63	3.92	0.00	4.29	Excellent
Q4	60.78	18.63	15.69	4.90	0.00	4.35	Excellent
Q5	56.86	20.59	18.63	3.92	0.00	4.30	Excellent
Q6	67.65	15.69	13.73	2.94	0.00	4.48	Excellent
Q7	72.55	15.69	9.80	1.96	0.00	4.59	Excellent
Q8	70.59	17.65	8.82	2.94	0.00	4.56	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Students on R20UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

G. R. H.
 Professor & H.O.D.
 Department of E.C.E.
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 KADAPA - 516 003

IQAC
 IQAC

Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

V.S.S. M.M.15
 PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Parents on Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

Feedback is collected from Parents on the following parameters

- Q1. How is the content and coverage of the curriculum?
- Q2. To what extent is the adequacy of the core courses?
- Q3. How is the applicability of the elective courses?
- Q4. What extent is the practical content in the curriculum?
- Q5. What is the ability to cope with the current job challenges?
- Q6. Does the curriculum adopts new technologies?
- Q7. Is the student is able to handle projects/assignments as an individual?
- Q8. Does the student has the ability to work in a team?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1) **Percentage wise Response**

Table 1: Parents Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q2	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q3	81.25	18.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent
Q4	87.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	Excellent
Q5	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q6	81.25	18.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent
Q7	68.75	31.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent
Q8	81.25	18.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Parents on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

G. H. H.

Professor & H.O.D.
Department of E.C.E.
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
KADAPA - 516 003

Q. P. S.
IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSR M College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003


V. S. S. M. M. G.
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Table 2: Parents Feedback Analysis Report On R20UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	81.25	18.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent
Q2	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q3	68.75	31.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent
Q4	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q5	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q6	81.25	18.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent
Q7	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q8	68.75	31.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Parents on R20UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

G. B. M.
 Professor & H.O.D.
 Department of E.C.E.
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 KADAPA - 516 003

Speer
 IQAC
 Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Mmily
 PRINCIPAL
 PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Alumni on Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

Feedback is collected from Alumni on the following parameters

- Q1. How much is the depth of the course content?
- Q2. Does the curriculum is relevant and updated?
- Q3. In what way is the Curriculum applicable to employability/higher education opportunity?
- Q4. Are learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives) are enhanced?
- Q5. Whether the knowledge is sufficient to tackle the competitive world?
- Q6. Whether the syllabus exists practical exposer?
- Q7. Whether it serves current industry needs?
- Q8. How is the quality standard of the curriculum?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Alumni Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	73.33	26.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q2	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q3	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q4	86.67	13.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.87	Excellent
Q5	73.33	13.33	13.33	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q6	86.67	13.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.87	Excellent
Q7	86.67	13.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.87	Excellent
Q8	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

G. H. M.

Professor & H.O.D.
Department of E.C.E.
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
KADAPA - 516 003

[Signature]

IQAC

Co-ordinator

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Mm/15


PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Table 2: Alumni Feedback Analysis Report On R20UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q2	73.33	26.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q3	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q4	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q5	73.33	26.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q6	86.67	13.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.87	Excellent
Q7	73.33	26.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q8	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R20UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

G. H. H.

**Professor & H.O.D.
Department of E.C.E.
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
KADAPA - 516 003**

Q. H. S.
IQAC

**Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003**

V. S. S. M. M. L. S.
PRINCIPAL

**PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)**

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Employer/Industry Expert on Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

Feedback is collected from Employer/Industry Expert on the following parameters

- Q1. To what extent the curriculum designed is relevant and meets the requirements of the Industry?
- Q2. Is the curriculum offered by the college satisfy sufficient Technical knowledge for the Profession?
- Q3. Will it be knowledgeable on recent tools and their usage?
- Q4. Serving the Industry needs in terms of leadership, team building and interpersonal skills.
- Q5. How extent are the practical skills?
- Q6. Are the adaptabilities to change and lifelong learning?
- Q7. Does the curriculum moulds the graduates with right attitude, values and ethics?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Employer/Industry Expert Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q2	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q3	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q4	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q5	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q6	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q7	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



**Feedback Analysis of Employer/Industry Expert on R18UG Curriculum for the
AY 2022-23**

G. H. h

**Professor & H.O.D.
Department of E.C.E.
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
KADAPA - 516 003.**

IQAC

IQAC

**Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003**

V. S. S. mm/14


PRINCIPAL

**PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)**

Table 2: Employer/Industry Expert Feedback Analysis Report On R20UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q2	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q3	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q4	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q5	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q6	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q7	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Employer/Industry Expert on R20UG Curriculum for the

AY 2022-23

G.V.H.
Professor & H.O.D.
Department of E.C.E.
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
KADAPA - 516 063

V.S.S. M.M/5
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

IQAC
IQAC
Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Teacher on Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

Feedback is collected from Teacher on the following parameters

- Q1. Whether the curriculum builds necessary skills and achieves course objectives?
- Q2. By what means! the curriculum is applicable or relevance to real life situations?
- Q3. Are the learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives) are achieved?
- Q4. Is life skills education and value added courses are offered to enrich the curriculum?
- Q5. Is a content relevant to the requirements of your profession?
- Q6. Does the curriculum fulfills local, national and global needs?
- Q7. How well-suited are the curriculum's assessment and evaluation processes?

The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Teacher Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	93.75	6.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.94	Excellent
Q2	90.63	9.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.91	Excellent
Q3	87.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	Excellent
Q4	90.63	9.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.91	Excellent
Q5	93.75	6.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.94	Excellent
Q6	90.63	9.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.91	Excellent
Q7	93.75	6.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.94	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Teacher on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

G. H. H.
 Professor & H.O.D.
 Department of E.C.E.
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 KADAPA - 516 003


G. H. H.
IQAC
 Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

V.S.S. Murthy
PRINCIPAL
 PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Table 2: Teacher Feedback Analysis Report On R20UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	93.75	6.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.94	Excellent
Q2	87.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	Excellent
Q3	90.63	9.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.91	Excellent
Q4	84.38	15.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.84	Excellent
Q5	93.75	6.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.94	Excellent
Q6	90.63	9.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.91	Excellent
Q7	87.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Teacher on R20UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

G. H. M.

Professor & H.O.D.
Department of E.C.E.
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
KADAPA-516005

J. S. S. M. M. K.
IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. M. M. K.
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)



K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(UGC-AUTONOMOUS)

Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh, India- 516 003

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUA,
Ananthapuramu

An ISO 14001:2004 & 9001: 2015 Certified Institution

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Stakeholders Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

The Department of Computer Science and Engineering collects feedback from the stakeholders to monitor and evaluate the quality of curriculum and curriculum related issues. The feedback forms were collected from students, parents, Alumni, Employer/Industry expert and Teacher on several aspects of curriculum and its learning related issues in terms of quality, competence, skills and professionalism. The report of feedback analysis is submitted to IQAC for necessary action and thereafter the analysis is shared with BoS Committee to take necessary measures and actions as to comply with the issues raised by the Stakeholders in New Curriculum.

**DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
DETAILED FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT**

Feedback Analysis of Students on Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

Feedback is collected from students on the following parameters

- Q1. Whether the Curriculum covers latest developments in the field and generates interest?
- Q2. Does curriculum meets local, national and global development needs?
- Q3. How well the curriculum integrates entrepreneurship, employability and skill development?
- Q4. Is curriculum incorporates professional ethics, human values, environment and sustainability?
- Q5. Are the cross-cutting contemporary issues are addressed through value added courses?
- Q6. Does the Institution takes proactive interest in promoting internship/student exchange/field visit opportunities for students?
- Q7. Are the assessment and evaluation procedures are adequate for the curriculum?
- Q8. Whether ICT tools, LMS and learning resources are effectively used for curriculum delivery?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Student Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	60.00	31.43	8.57	0.00	0.00	4.51	Excellent
Q2	75.24	17.14	4.76	2.86	0.00	4.65	Excellent
Q3	60.00	20.95	19.05	0.00	0.00	4.41	Excellent
Q4	54.29	22.86	20.00	2.86	0.00	4.29	Excellent
Q5	70.48	22.86	3.81	2.86	0.00	4.61	Excellent
Q6	81.90	10.48	5.71	1.90	0.00	4.72	Excellent
Q7	73.33	15.24	9.52	1.90	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q8	71.43	17.14	8.57	2.86	0.00	4.57	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 < Avg. Rating ≤ 3	Very good
3 < Avg. rating ≤ 2	Good



Feedback Analysis of Students on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

[Handwritten Signature]

Dr. V. LOKESWARA REDDY
 M.Tech., Ph.D.,
 Professor & HOD CSE
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering (Autonomous)
 KADAPA - 516 005.

[Handwritten Signature]
IQAC

Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003


[Handwritten Signature]
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Table 2: Student Feedback Analysis Report On R20UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	55.36	26.79	13.39	4.46	0.00	4.33	Excellent
Q2	70.54	16.07	10.71	2.68	0.00	4.54	Excellent
Q3	52.68	28.57	14.29	4.46	0.00	4.29	Excellent
Q4	50.89	23.21	20.54	5.36	0.00	4.20	Excellent
Q5	60.71	23.21	12.50	3.57	0.00	4.41	Excellent
Q6	61.61	21.43	10.71	6.25	0.00	4.38	Excellent
Q7	64.29	22.32	11.61	1.79	0.00	4.49	Excellent
Q8	61.61	25.89	9.82	2.68	0.00	4.46	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Students on R20UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

(Signature)
Dr. V. LOKESWARA REDDY
 M.Tech., Ph.D.,
 Professor & HOD CSE
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering (Autonomous)
 KADAPA - 516 005.

(Signature)
IQAC
 Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

(Signature)
PRINCIPAL
PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (Autonomous)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Parents on Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

Feedback is collected from Parents on the following parameters

- Q1. How is the content and coverage of the curriculum?
- Q2. To what extent is the adequacy of the core courses?
- Q3. How is the applicability of the elective courses?
- Q4. What extent is the practical content in the curriculum?
- Q5. What is the ability to cope with the current job challenges?
- Q6. Does the curriculum adopts new technologies?
- Q7. Is the student is able to handle projects/assignments as an individual?
- Q8. Does the student has the ability to work in a team?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Parents Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q2	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q3	68.75	31.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent
Q4	87.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	Excellent
Q5	87.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	Excellent
Q6	81.25	18.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent
Q7	68.75	31.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent
Q8	68.75	31.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Parents on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

(Handwritten Signature)

Dr. V. LOKESWARA REDDY
 M.Tech., Ph.D.
 Professor & HOD CSE
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering (Autonomous)
 KADAPA - 516 005.

(Handwritten Signature)
IQAC

Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003


(Handwritten Signature)
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Table 2: Parents Feedback Analysis Report On R20UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	81.25	18.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent
Q2	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q3	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q4	68.75	31.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent
Q5	87.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	Excellent
Q6	87.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	Excellent
Q7	68.75	31.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent
Q8	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Parents on R20UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

(Signature)
Dr. V. LOKESWARA REDDY
 M.Tech., Ph.D.,
 Professor & HOD CSE
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering (Autonomous)
 KADAPA - 516 005.

(Signature)
IQAC

Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

(Signature)
V. S. S. M M 15
PRINCIPAL
 PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Alumni on Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

Feedback is collected from Alumni on the following parameters

- Q1. How much is the depth of the course content?
- Q2. Does the curriculum is relevant and updated?
- Q3. In what way is the Curriculum applicable to employability/higher education opportunity?
- Q4. Are learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives) are enhanced?
- Q5. Whether the knowledge is sufficient to tackle the competitive world?
- Q6. Whether the syllabus exists practical exposer?
- Q7. Whether it serves current industry needs?
- Q8. How is the quality standard of the curriculum?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Alumni Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	64.29	28.57	7.14	0.00	0.00	4.57	Excellent
Q2	57.14	28.57	7.14	7.14	0.00	4.36	Excellent
Q3	71.43	28.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.71	Excellent
Q4	57.14	42.86	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.57	Excellent
Q5	78.57	14.29	7.14	0.00	0.00	4.71	Excellent
Q6	78.57	14.29	7.14	0.00	0.00	4.71	Excellent
Q7	71.43	14.29	14.29	0.00	0.00	4.57	Excellent
Q8	71.43	14.29	14.29	0.00	0.00	4.57	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

(Signature)

Dr. V. LOKESWARA REDDI
 M.Tech., Ph.D.,
 Professor & HOD CSE
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering (Autonomous)
 KADAPA - 516 005.

(Signature)
IQAC

Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003


V.S.S. Mm/ly
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Table 2: Alumni Feedback Analysis Report On R20UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	64.29	35.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.64	Excellent
Q2	64.29	28.57	7.14	0.00	0.00	4.57	Excellent
Q3	78.57	14.29	7.14	0.00	0.00	4.71	Excellent
Q4	64.29	35.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.64	Excellent
Q5	85.71	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q6	78.57	14.29	7.14	0.00	0.00	4.71	Excellent
Q7	85.71	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q8	64.29	21.43	14.29	0.00	0.00	4.50	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R20UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

(Signature)
DR. V. LOKESWARA REDDY

M.Tech., Ph.D.,

Professor & HOD CSE

K.S.R.M. College of Engineering (Autonomous)

KADAPA - 516 005.

(Signature)
IQAC

Co-ordinator

Internal Quality Assurance
KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

(Signature)
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
Feedback Analysis of Employer/Industry Expert on Curriculum for the
AY 2022-23

Feedback is collected from Employer/Industry Expert on the following parameters

- Q1. To what extent the curriculum designed is relevant and meets the requirements of the Industry?
- Q2. Is the curriculum offered by the college satisfy sufficient Technical knowledge for the Profession?
- Q3. Will it be knowledgeable on recent tools and their usage?
- Q4. Serving the Industry needs in terms of leadership, team building and interpersonal skills.
- Q5. How extent are the practical skills?
- Q6. Are the adaptabilities to change and lifelong learning?
- Q7. Does the curriculum moulds the graduates with right attitude, values and ethics?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Employer/Industry Expert Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q2	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q3	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q4	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q5	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q6	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q7	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Employer/Industry Expert on R18UG Curriculum for the

AY 2022-23

(Handwritten Signature)

Dr. V. LOKESWARA REDDY
 M.Tech., Ph.D.,
 Professor & HOD CSE
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering (Autonomous)
 KADAPA - 516 005.

(Handwritten Signature)
IQAC


Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

(Handwritten Signature)
PRINCIPAL
PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Table 2: Employer/Industry Expert Feedback Analysis Report On R20UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q2	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q3	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q4	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q5	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q6	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q7	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Employer/Industry Expert on R20UG Curriculum for the

AY 2022-23

Dr. V. LOKESWARA REDDY
M.Tech., Ph.D.,

Professor & HOD CSE
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering (Autonomous)
KADAPA - 516 005.

IQAC

Co-ordinator

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Teacher on Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

Feedback is collected from Teacher on the following parameters

- Q1. Whether the curriculum builds necessary skills and achieves course objectives?
- Q2. By what means! the curriculum is applicable or relevance to real life situations?
- Q3. Are the learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives) are achieved?
- Q4. Is life skills education and value added courses are offered to enrich the curriculum?
- Q5. Is a content relevant to the requirements of your profession?
- Q6. Does the curriculum fulfills local, national and global needs?
- Q7. How well-suited are the curriculum's assessment and evaluation processes?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Teacher Feedback Analysis Report On R18UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	77.42	22.58	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.77	Excellent
Q2	83.87	16.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.84	Excellent
Q3	83.87	16.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.84	Excellent
Q4	70.97	29.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.71	Excellent
Q5	74.19	25.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.74	Excellent
Q6	74.19	25.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.74	Excellent
Q7	87.10	12.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.87	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Teacher on R18UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

Reddy V
Dr. V. LOKESWARA REDDY
 M.Tech., Ph.D.
 Professor & HOD CSE
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering (Autonomous),
 KADAPA - 516 005.


IQAC
IQAC
 Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Mm/14
PRINCIPAL
 PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

Table 2: Teacher Feedback Analysis Report On R20UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	83.87	16.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.84	Excellent
Q2	90.32	9.68	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.90	Excellent
Q3	90.32	9.68	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.90	Excellent
Q4	80.65	19.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent
Q5	77.42	22.58	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.77	Excellent
Q6	93.55	6.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.94	Excellent
Q7	93.55	6.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.94	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Teacher on R20UG Curriculum for the AY 2022-23

(Signature)
Dr. V. LOKESWARA REDDY
 M.Tech., Ph.D.,
 Professor & HOD CSE
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering (Autonomous)
 KADAPA - 516 005.

(Signature)
IQAC
 Co-ordinator

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

(Signature)
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)