



K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(UGC-AUTONOMOUS)

Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh, India- 516 003

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUA,
Ananthapuramu

An ISO 14001:2004 & 9001: 2015 Certified Institution

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

Stakeholders Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

The Department of Civil Engineering collects feedback from the stakeholders to monitor and evaluate the quality of curriculum and curriculum related issues. The feedback forms were collected from students, parents, Alumni, Employer and faculty on several aspects of curriculum and its learning related issues in terms of quality , competence, skills and professionalism. The report of feedback analysis is submitted to IQAC for necessary action and thereafter the analysis is shared with BoS Committee to take necessary measures and actions as to comply with the issues raised by the Stakeholders in New Curriculum.

**DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
DETAILED FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT**

Feedback Analysis of Students on Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

Feedback is collected from students on the following parameters

- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material
- Q6. Relevance of additional source material (library)
- Q7. Extent of effort required by students
- Q8. Overall Rating


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table: Students Feedback analysis report on R15UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	61.47	30.28	8.26	0.00	0.00	4.53	Excellent
Q2	76.15	16.51	4.59	2.75	0.00	4.66	Excellent
Q3	61.47	20.18	18.35	0.00	0.00	4.43	Excellent
Q4	55.96	22.02	19.27	2.75	0.00	4.31	Excellent
Q5	71.56	22.02	3.67	2.75	0.00	4.62	Excellent
Q6	82.57	10.09	5.50	1.83	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q7	74.31	14.68	9.17	1.83	0.00	4.61	Excellent
Q8	72.48	16.51	8.26	2.75	0.00	4.59	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Students on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

[Signature]
Head

Department of Civil Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
(Autonomous)
KADAPA - 516 003. (A.P.)

[Signature]
IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Parents on Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

Feedback is collected from Parents on the following parameters

- Q1. Content and coverage of curriculum studied
- Q2. Adequacy of the core courses
- Q3. Ordering of the courses in the curriculum
- Q4. Adequacy of the elective courses
- Q5. Practical content in the curriculum
- Q6. Ability to cope with the current job challenges
- Q7. Ability to adopt new technologies
- Q8. Ability to handle projects/assignments as individual
- Q9. Ability to work in a team


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table: Parents Feedback analysis report on R15UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	61.54	30.77	7.69	0.00	0.00	4.54	Excellent
Q2	61.54	38.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.62	Excellent
Q3	76.92	15.38	7.69	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent
Q4	76.92	15.38	7.69	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent
Q5	84.62	15.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.85	Excellent
Q6	76.92	23.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.77	Excellent
Q7	69.23	23.08	7.69	0.00	0.00	4.62	Excellent
Q8	76.92	15.38	7.69	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent
Q9	84.62	7.69	7.69	0.00	0.00	4.77	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Parents on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

(Signature)

Head

Department of Civil Engineering
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 (Autonomous)
 KADAPA - 516 003. (A.P.)

(Signature)

IQAC

Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSR M College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

(Signature)

PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Alumni on Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

Feedback is collected from Alumni on the following parameters

- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Whether the knowledge is sufficient to face the competitive world
- Q6. Whether the syllabus gives practical exposures
- Q7. Whether it serves current industry needs
- Q8. Overall Rating


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table: Alumni Feedback analysis report on R15UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	81.25	12.50	6.25	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q2	87.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	Excellent
Q3	81.25	12.50	6.25	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q4	81.25	18.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent
Q5	93.75	6.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.94	Excellent
Q6	75.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	4.63	Excellent
Q7	87.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	Excellent
Q8	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

[Handwritten Signature]

Head
Department of Civil Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
(Autonomous)
KADAPA - 516 003. (A.P.)

[Handwritten Signature]
IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

[Handwritten Signature]
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Employer on Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

Feedback is collected from Employer on the following parameters

- Q1. Basics of Computer Science
- Q2. Fundamentals of Mathematics and Science of the Students
- Q3. Algorithm Design Techniques
- Q4. Knowledge on Recent Tools and their Usage
- Q5. Practical Skills
- Q6. Scope for New Ideas / innovations
- Q7. Suitable for new technologies
- Q8. Serving the Industry needs
- Q9. Overall Rating


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table: Employer Feedback analysis report on R15UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	66.67	16.67	16.67	0.00	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q2	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q3	66.67	16.67	16.67	0.00	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q4	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q5	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q6	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q7	66.67	16.67	16.67	0.00	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q8	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q9	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Employer on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

[Signature]
Head

Department of Civil Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
(Autonomous)
KADAPA - 516 003. (A.P.)

[Signature]
IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Mm/g
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Faculty on Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

Feedback is collected from Faculty on the following parameters

- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material
- Q6. Relevance of additional source material (library)
- Q7. Extent of effort required by students
- Q8. Overall Rating


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table: Faculty Feedback analysis report on R15UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	86.11	13.89	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q2	91.67	5.56	2.78	0.00	0.00	4.89	Excellent
Q3	83.33	11.11	5.56	0.00	0.00	4.78	Excellent
Q4	86.11	13.89	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q5	83.33	11.11	5.56	0.00	0.00	4.78	Excellent
Q6	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q7	80.56	13.89	5.56	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q8	83.33	8.33	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Faculty on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

[Handwritten Signature]

Head
 Department of Civil Engineering
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 (Autonomous)
 KADAPA - 516 003. (A.P.)

[Handwritten Signature]

IQAC

Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

[Handwritten Signature]
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)



K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(UGC-AUTONOMOUS)

Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh, India- 516 003

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUA,
Ananthapuramu

An ISO 14001:2004 & 9001: 2015 Certified Institution

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Stakeholders Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

The Department of ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING Collects feedback from the stakeholders to monitor and evaluate the quality of curriculum and curriculum related issues. The feedback forms were collected from students, parents, Alumni, Employer and Faculty on several aspects of curriculum and its learning related issues in terms of quality, competence, skills and professionalism. The report of feedback analysis is submitted to IQAC for necessary action and thereafter the analysis is shared with BoS Committee to take necessary measures and actions as to comply with the issues raised by the Stakeholders in New Curriculum.

**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
DETAILED FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT**

Feedback Analysis of Students on Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

Feedback is collected from students on the following parameters

- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material
- Q6. Relevance of additional source material (library)
- Q7. Extent of effort required by students
- Q8. Overall Rating


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Student Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	45.07	36.62	18.31	0.00	0.00	4.27	Excellent
Q2	49.30	25.35	22.54	2.82	0.00	4.21	Excellent
Q3	43.66	30.99	22.54	2.82	0.00	4.15	Excellent
Q4	40.85	32.39	22.54	4.23	0.00	4.10	Excellent
Q5	43.66	30.99	21.13	4.23	0.00	4.14	Excellent
Q6	54.93	25.35	14.08	5.63	0.00	4.30	Excellent
Q7	52.11	30.99	12.68	4.23	0.00	4.31	Excellent
Q8	45.07	35.21	15.49	4.23	0.00	4.21	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Students on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

Agnareth

HEAD
 Department of Electrical &
 Electronics Engineering
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 Kadapa -516003.

A. S. Rao
 IQAC

Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. M. M. / 19
 PRINCIPAL
 PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Parents on Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

Feedback is collected from Parents on the following parameters

- Q1. Content and coverage of curriculum studied
- Q2. Adequacy of the core courses
- Q3. Ordering of the courses in the curriculum
- Q4. Adequacy of the elective courses
- Q5. Practical content in the curriculum
- Q6. Ability to cope with the current job challenges
- Q7. Ability to adopt new technologies
- Q8. Overall Rating Ability to handle projects/assignments as individual
- Q9. Ability to work in a team


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table: Parents Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	73.33	26.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q2	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q3	66.67	26.67	6.67	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q4	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q5	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q6	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q7	86.67	13.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.87	Excellent
Q8	80.00	13.33	6.67	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q9	86.67	6.67	6.67	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Parents on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

S. Anwar
HEAD

Department of Electrical &
Electronics Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
Kadapa -516003.

S. Anwar
IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. M. M. M.
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Alumni on Curriculum for AY 2018-19

Feedback is collected from Alumni on the following parameters

- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Whether the knowledge is sufficient to face the competitive world
- Q6. Whether the syllabus gives practical exposers
- Q7. Whether it serves current industry needs
- Q8. Overall Rating


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table: Alumni Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	81.82	18.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.82	Excellent
Q2	72.73	18.18	9.09	0.00	0.00	4.64	Excellent
Q3	81.82	18.18	9.09	0.00	0.00	5.09	Excellent
Q4	90.91	9.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.91	Excellent
Q5	72.73	27.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q6	81.82	18.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.82	Excellent
Q7	81.82	18.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.82	Excellent
Q8	90.91	9.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.91	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Parents on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

C. Anand
HEAD

Department of Electrical &
Electronics Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
Kadapa -516003.

[Signature]
IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

V.S.S. Mm/15
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Employer on Curriculum for AY 2018-19

Feedback is collected from Employer on the following parameters

- Q1. Basics of Computer Science
- Q2. Fundamentals of Mathematics and Science of the Students
- Q3. Algorithm Design Techniques
- Q4. Knowledge on Recent Tools and their Usage
- Q5. Practical Skills
- Q6. Scope for New Ideas / innovations
- Q7. Suitable for new technologies
- Q8. Serving the Industry needs
- Q9. Overall Rating


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table: Employer Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q2	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q3	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q4	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q5	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q6	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q7	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q8	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q9	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Employer on R15UG Curriculum for AY 2018-19

[Signature]
 HEAD

Department of Electrical &
 Electronics Engineering
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 Kadapa -516003.

[Signature]
 IQAC

Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

[Signature]
 PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Faculty on Curriculum for AY 2018-19

Feedback is collected from Faculty on the following parameters

- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material
- Q6. Relevance of additional source material (library)
- Q7. Extent of effort required by students
- Q8. Overall Rating


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table: Faculty Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q2	84.00	12.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q3	80.00	16.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	4.76	Excellent
Q4	84.00	12.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q5	72.00	20.00	8.00	0.00	0.00	4.64	Excellent
Q6	92.00	8.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.92	Excellent
Q7	84.00	12.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q8	76.00	16.00	8.00	0.00	0.00	4.68	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Faculty on R15UG Curriculum for AY 2018-19

Signature
HEAD

Department of Electrical &
Electronics Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
Kadapa -516003.

Signature
IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

V.S.S. Mm/19
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)



K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(UGC-AUTONOMOUS)

Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh, India- 516 003

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUA,
Ananthapuramu

An ISO 14001:2004 & 9001: 2015 Certified Institution

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Stakeholders Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

The Department of Mechanical Engineering collects feedback from the stakeholders to monitor and evaluate the quality of curriculum and curriculum related issues. The feedback forms were collected from students, parents, Alumni, Employer and Faculty on several aspects of curriculum and its learning related issues in terms of quality, competence, skills and professionalism. The report of feedback analysis is submitted to IQAC for necessary action and thereafter the analysis is shared with BoS Committee to take necessary measures and actions as to comply with the issues raised by the Stakeholders in New Curriculum.

**DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING
DETAILED FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT**

Feedback Analysis of Students on Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

Feedback is collected from students on the following parameters

- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material
- Q6. Relevance of additional source material (library)
- Q7. Extent of effort required by students
- Q8. Overall Rating


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table: Student Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	38.16	34.21	19.74	7.89	0.00	4.03	Excellent
Q2	47.37	26.32	21.05	5.26	0.00	4.16	Excellent
Q3	38.16	28.95	26.32	6.58	0.00	3.99	Very Good
Q4	42.11	30.26	23.68	3.95	0.00	4.11	Excellent
Q5	40.79	28.95	23.68	6.58	0.00	4.04	Excellent
Q6	53.95	23.68	17.11	5.26	0.00	4.26	Excellent
Q7	48.68	28.95	18.42	3.95	0.00	4.22	Excellent
Q8	35.53	32.89	27.63	3.95	0.00	4.00	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Students on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

[Handwritten Signature]

**Professor & Head
Department of Mechanical Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
KADAPA - 516 003.**

[Handwritten Signature]
IQAC

**Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003**

[Handwritten Signature]
PRINCIPAL

**PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)**

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Parents on Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

Feedback is collected from Parents on the following parameters

- Q1. Content and coverage of curriculum studied
- Q2. Adequacy of the core courses
- Q3. Ordering of the courses in the curriculum
- Q4. Adequacy of the elective courses
- Q5. Practical content in the curriculum
- Q6. Ability to cope with the current job challenges
- Q7. Ability to adopt new technologies
- Q8. Ability to handle projects/assignments as individual
- Q9. Ability to work in a team


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table: Parents Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	63.64	36.36	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.64	Excellent
Q2	72.73	27.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q3	63.64	36.36	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.64	Excellent
Q4	72.73	27.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q5	72.73	27.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q6	72.73	27.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q7	81.82	18.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.82	Excellent
Q8	90.91	9.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.91	Excellent
Q9	81.82	18.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.82	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Parents on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

[Signature]
Professor & head
Department of Mechanical Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
KADAPA - 516 003.

[Signature]
IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSR M College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Alumni on Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

Feedback is collected from Alumni on the following parameters

- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Whether the knowledge is sufficient to face the competitive world
- Q6. Whether the syllabus gives practical exposers
- Q7. Whether it serves current industry needs
- Q8. Overall Rating


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table: Alumni Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	64.29	35.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.64	Excellent
Q2	57.14	35.71	7.14	0.00	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q3	64.29	28.57	7.14	0.00	0.00	4.57	Excellent
Q4	78.57	21.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.79	Excellent
Q5	78.57	21.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.79	Excellent
Q6	71.43	28.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.71	Excellent
Q7	64.29	28.57	7.14	0.00	0.00	4.57	Excellent
Q8	71.43	28.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.71	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

[Signature]
Professor & Head
 Department of Mechanical Engineering
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 KADAPA - 516 003.

[Signature]
IQAC

Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Muly
PRINCIPAL
 PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Employer on Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

Feedback is collected from Employer on the following parameters

- Q1. Basics of Computer Science
- Q2. Fundamentals of Mathematics and Science of the Students
- Q3. Algorithm Design Techniques
- Q4. Knowledge on Recent Tools and their Usage
- Q5. Practical Skills
- Q6. Scope for New Ideas / innovations
- Q7. Suitable for new technologies
- Q8. Serving the Industry needs
- Q9. Overall Rating


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table: Employer Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q2	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q3	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q4	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q5	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q6	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q7	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q8	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q9	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Employer on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

[Handwritten Signature]

**Professor & Head
Department of Mechanical Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
KADAPA - 516 003.**

[Handwritten Signature]

IQAC

**Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003**

V. S. S. mm/g
PRINCIPAL

**PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)**

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Faculty on Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

Feedback is collected from Faculty on the following parameters

- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material
- Q6. Relevance of additional source material (library)
- Q7. Extent of effort required by students
- Q8. Overall Rating


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table: Faculty Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	78.57	21.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.79	Excellent
Q2	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q3	71.43	28.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.71	Excellent
Q4	78.57	21.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.79	Excellent
Q5	71.43	28.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.71	Excellent
Q6	82.14	17.86	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.82	Excellent
Q7	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q8	67.86	32.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.68	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Faculty on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

[Signature]
 Professor & Head
 Department of Mechanical Engineering
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 KADAPA - 516 003.

[Signature]
 IQAC

Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

V.S.S. Mmly
 PRINCIPAL
 PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)



K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(UGC-AUTONOMOUS)

Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh, India- 516 003

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUA,
Ananthapuramu

An ISO 14001:2004 & 9001: 2015 Certified Institution

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Stakeholders Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

The Department Of Electronics and Communication Engineering collects feedback from the stakeholders to monitor and evaluate the quality of curriculum and curriculum related issues. The feedback forms were collected from students, parents, Alumni, Employer/Industry expert and Teacher on several aspects of curriculum and its learning related issues in terms of quality , competence, skills and professionalism. The report of feedback analysis is submitted to IQAC for necessary action and thereafter the analysis is shared with BoS Committee to take necessary measures and actions as to comply with the issues raised by the Stakeholders in New Curriculum.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING
DETAILED FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT

Feedback Analysis of Students on Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

Feedback is collected from students on the following parameters

- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material
- Q6. Relevance of additional source material (library)
- Q7. Extent of effort required by students
- Q8. Overall Rating


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table: Student Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	51.85	32.10	16.05	0.00	0.00	4.36	Excellent
Q2	67.90	22.22	7.41	2.47	0.00	4.56	Excellent
Q3	50.62	27.16	19.75	2.47	0.00	4.26	Excellent
Q4	48.15	28.40	19.75	3.70	0.00	4.21	Excellent
Q5	62.96	27.16	6.17	3.70	0.00	4.49	Excellent
Q6	80.25	9.88	7.41	2.47	0.00	4.68	Excellent
Q7	70.37	14.81	11.11	3.70	0.00	4.52	Excellent
Q8	64.20	20.99	11.11	3.70	0.00	4.46	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Students on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

G. H. H.
 Professor & H.O.D.
 Department of E.C.E.
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 KADAPA - 516 003

IQAC
 IQAC

Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. M. W. G.
 PRINCIPAL
 PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Parents on Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

Feedback is collected from Parents on the following parameters

- Q1. Content and coverage of curriculum studied
- Q2. Adequacy of the core courses
- Q3. Ordering of the courses in the curriculum
- Q4. Adequacy of the elective courses
- Q5. Practical content in the curriculum
- Q6. Ability to cope with the current job challenges
- Q7. Ability to adopt new technologies
- Q8. Ability to handle projects/assignments as individual
- Q9. Ability to work in a team


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table: Parents Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	66.67	26.67	6.67	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q2	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q3	66.67	26.67	6.67	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q4	66.67	20.00	13.33	0.00	0.00	4.53	Excellent
Q5	80.00	13.33	6.67	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q6	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q7	73.33	20.00	6.67	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q8	80.00	13.33	6.67	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q9	86.67	6.67	6.67	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Parents on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

G. H. M.
 Professor & H.O.D.
 Department of E.C.E.
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 KADAPA - 516 003

[Signature]
IQAC
 Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Mmly
PRINCIPAL
 PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Alumni on Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

Feedback is collected from Alumni on the following parameters

- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Whether the knowledge is sufficient to face the competitive world
- Q6. Whether the syllabus gives practical exposures
- Q7. Whether it serves current industry needs
- Q8. Overall Rating


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table: Alumni Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q2	75.00	16.67	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q3	75.00	16.67	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q4	83.33	8.33	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q5	58.33	33.33	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q6	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q7	75.00	16.67	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent
Q8	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

G. H. M.
 Professor & H.O.D.
 Department of E.C.E.
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 KADAPA - 516 003

A. J. S.
 IQAC
 Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. M. M. / G
 PRINCIPAL
 PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Employer on Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

Feedback is collected from Employer on the following parameters

- Q1. Basics of Computer Science
- Q2. Fundamentals of Mathematics and Science of the Students
- Q3. Algorithm Design Techniques
- Q4. Knowledge on Recent Tools and their Usage
- Q5. Practical Skills
- Q6. Scope for New Ideas / innovations
- Q7. Suitable for new technologies
- Q8. Serving the Industry needs
- Q9. Overall Rating


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table: Employer Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q2	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q3	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q4	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q5	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q6	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q7	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q8	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q9	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Employer on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

G. H. M.
 Professor & H.O.D.
 Department of E.C.E.
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 KADAPA - 516 003

G. H. M.
IQAC
 Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Mm/4
PRINCIPAL
PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Faculty on Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

Feedback is collected from Faculty on the following parameters

- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material
- Q6. Relevance of additional source material (library)
- Q7. Extent of effort required by students
- Q8. Overall Rating


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table: Faculty Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	86.49	13.51	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q2	89.19	8.11	2.70	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q3	86.49	10.81	2.70	0.00	0.00	4.84	Excellent
Q4	89.19	8.11	2.70	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q5	81.08	13.51	5.41	0.00	0.00	4.76	Excellent
Q6	94.59	5.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.95	Excellent
Q7	89.19	8.11	2.70	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q8	86.49	8.11	5.41	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Faculty on R15UG Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

G. D. H.

**Professor & H.O.D.
Department of E.C.E.
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
KADAPA - 516 063**

G. S.

IQAC
Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. M. M. / S.

PRINCIPAL
PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)



K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(UGC-AUTONOMOUS)

Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh, India- 516 003

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUA,
Ananthapuramu

An ISO 14001:2004 & 9001: 2015 Certified Institution

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Stakeholders Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2018-19

The Department of Computer Science and Engineering collects feedback from the stakeholders to monitor and evaluate the quality of curriculum and curriculum related issues. The feedback forms were collected from students, parents, Alumni, Employer and faculty on several aspects of curriculum and its learning related issues in terms of quality, competence, skills and professionalism. The report of feedback analysis is submitted to IQAC for necessary action and thereafter the analysis is shared with BoS Committee to take necessary measures and actions as to comply with the issues raised by the Stakeholders in New Curriculum.

**DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
DETAILED FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT**

Feedback Analysis of Students on Curriculum for AY 2018-19

Feedback is collected from students on the following parameters

- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material
- Q6. Relevance of additional source material (library)
- Q7. Extent of effort required by students
- Q8. Overall Rating


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table: Student Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	60.94	25.00	14.06	0.00	0.00	4.47	Excellent
Q2	54.69	32.81	7.81	4.69	0.00	4.38	Excellent
Q3	68.75	23.44	4.69	3.13	0.00	4.58	Excellent
Q4	59.38	29.69	6.25	4.69	0.00	4.44	Excellent
Q5	57.81	32.81	7.81	1.56	0.00	4.47	Excellent
Q6	45.31	46.88	6.25	1.56	0.00	4.36	Excellent
Q7	67.19	23.44	6.25	3.13	0.00	4.55	Excellent
Q8	64.06	28.13	3.13	4.69	0.00	4.52	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Students on R15UG Curriculum for AY 2018-19

Dr. M. Sreenivasulu,
M. E., Ph. D.
Professor & HOD CSE
K. S R M. College of Engineering
K A D A P A - 516 003

IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Murthy

PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Parents on Curriculum for AY 2018-19

Feedback is collected from Parents on the following parameters

- Q1. Content and coverage of curriculum studied
- Q2. Adequacy of the core courses
- Q3. Ordering of the courses in the curriculum
- Q4. Adequacy of the elective courses
- Q5. Practical content in the curriculum
- Q6. Ability to cope with the current job challenges
- Q7. Ability to adopt new technologies
- Q8. Overall Rating Ability to handle projects/assignments as individual
- Q9. Ability to work in a team


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table: Parents Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1						0.00	Good
Q2	69.23	23.08	7.69	0.00	0.00	4.62	Excellent
Q3	69.23	30.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent
Q4	76.92	23.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.77	Excellent
Q5	76.92	15.38	7.69	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent
Q6	84.62	15.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.85	Excellent
Q7	69.23	23.08	7.69	0.00	0.00	4.62	Excellent
Q8	76.92	15.38	7.69	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent
Q9	84.62	7.69	7.69	0.00	0.00	4.77	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Parents on R15UG Curriculum for AY 2018-19

[Signature]
Dr. M. Sreenivasulu,
 M. E., Ph. D.
 Professor & HOD CSE
 K. S. R. M. College of Engineering
 KADAPA - 516 003

[Signature]
IQAC
 Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSR M College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Mm/19
PRINCIPAL
 PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Alumni on Curriculum for AY 2018-19

Feedback is collected from Alumni on the following parameters

- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Whether the knowledge is sufficient to face the competitive world
- Q6. Whether the syllabus gives practical exposures
- Q7. Whether it serves current industry needs
- Q8. Overall Rating


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table: Alumni Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	85.71	7.14	7.14	0.00	0.00	4.79	Excellent
Q2	85.71	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q3	78.57	14.29	7.14	0.00	0.00	4.71	Excellent
Q4	85.71	7.14	7.14	0.00	0.00	4.79	Excellent
Q5	78.57	14.29	7.14	0.00	0.00	4.71	Excellent
Q6	85.71	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q7	78.57	21.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.79	Excellent
Q8	85.71	7.14	7.14	0.00	0.00	4.79	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R15UG Curriculum for AY 2018-19

[Signature]
 Dr. M. Sreenivasulu,
 M. E., Ph. D.
 Professor & HOD CSE
 K. S. R. M. College of Engineering
 KADAPA - 516 003

[Signature]
IQAC
 Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 K. S. R. M. College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Mm/15
PRINCIPAL
PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Employer on Curriculum for AY 2018-19

Feedback is collected from Employer on the following parameters

- Q1. Basics of Computer Science
- Q2. Fundamentals of Mathematics and Science of the Students
- Q3. Algorithm Design Techniques
- Q4. Knowledge on Recent Tools and their Usage
- Q5. Practical Skills
- Q6. Scope for New Ideas / innovations
- Q7. Suitable for new technologies
- Q8. Serving the Industry needs
- Q9. Overall Rating


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table: Employer Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q2	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q3	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q4	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q5	60.00	20.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	4.40	Excellent
Q6	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q7	60.00	20.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	4.40	Excellent
Q8	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q9	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Employer on R15UG Curriculum for AY 2018-19

[Signature]
Dr. M. Sreenivasulu,
 M. E., Ph. D.
 Professor & HOD CSE
 K. S. R. M. College of Engineering
 KADAPA - 516 003

[Signature]
IQAC
 Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSR M College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL
 PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Faculty on Curriculum for AY 2018-19

Feedback is collected from Faculty on the following parameters

- Q1. Depth of the course content
- Q2. Extent of Coverage of Course
- Q3. Applicability or relevance to real life situations
- Q4. Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives)
- Q5. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material
- Q6. Relevance of additional source material (library)
- Q7. Extent of effort required by students
- Q8. Overall Rating


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table: Faculty Feedback Analysis Report On R15UG Curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	85.19	14.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.85	Excellent
Q2	88.89	7.41	3.70	0.00	0.00	4.85	Excellent
Q3	85.19	14.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.85	Excellent
Q4	88.89	7.41	3.70	0.00	0.00	4.85	Excellent
Q5	77.78	14.81	7.41	0.00	0.00	4.70	Excellent
Q6	88.89	11.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.89	Excellent
Q7	88.89	11.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.89	Excellent
Q8	92.59	7.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.93	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Faculty on R15UG Curriculum for AY 2018-19

[Signature]
Dr. M. Sreenivasulu,
 M. E., Ph. D.
 Professor & HOD CSE
 K. S. R. M. College of Engineering
 KADAPA - 516 003

[Signature]
IQAC
 Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. M. W. G.
PRINCIPAL
 PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)