



**K.S.R.M COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
(AUTONOMOUS)**



**FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM
AY 2023-24**

**K.S.R.M COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
(AUTONOMOUS)**



**DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM
AY 2023-24**



K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(UGC-AUTONOMOUS)

Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh, India- 516 003

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUA,
Ananthapuramu

An ISO 14001:2004 & 9001: 2015 Certified Institution

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

Stakeholders Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2023-24

The Department of Civil Engineering collects feedback from its stakeholders to monitor and evaluate its performance quality on curriculum and curriculum related issues. The feedback forms were collected from students, Alumni, Employer/Industry expert and Teacher on several aspects of curriculum and its learning related issues in terms of quality, competence, skills and professionalism. The report of feedback analysis is submitted to IQAC for necessary action and thereafter the analysis is shared with BoS Committee to take necessary measures and actions as to comply with the issues raised by the Stakeholders in New Curriculum.

**DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
DETAILED FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT**

Feedback Analysis of Students on Curriculum for AY 2023-24

Feedback is collected from students on the following parameters

- Q1. Whether the Curriculum covers latest developments in the field and generates interest?
- Q2. Does curriculum meets local, national and global development needs?
- Q3. How well the curriculum integrates entrepreneurship, employability and skill development?
- Q4. Is curriculum incorporates professional ethics, human values, environment and sustainability?
- Q5. Are the cross-cutting contemporary issues are addressed through value added courses?
- Q6. Does the Institution takes proactive interest in promoting internship/student exchange/field visit opportunities for students?
- Q7. Are the assessment and evaluation procedures are adequate for the curriculum?
- Q8. Whether ICT tools, LMS and learning resources are effectively used for curriculum delivery?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Student Feedback analysis report on R20UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	70.69	18.10	8.62	2.59	0.00	4.57	Excellent
Q2	68.10	16.38	12.93	2.59	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q3	63.79	19.83	11.21	5.17	0.00	4.42	Excellent
Q4	68.97	21.55	7.76	1.72	0.00	4.58	Excellent
Q5	65.52	19.83	10.34	4.31	0.00	4.47	Excellent
Q6	59.48	29.31	11.21	0.00	0.00	4.48	Excellent
Q7	67.24	22.41	8.62	1.72	0.00	4.55	Excellent
Q8	58.62	25.00	13.79	2.59	0.00	4.40	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Students on R20UG Curriculum for AY 2023-24

[Signature]
HoD
 Head

Department of Civil Engineering
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 (Autonomous)
 KADAPA - 516 003 (A.P.)

[Signature]
IQAC

Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Alumni on Curriculum for AY 2023-24

Feedback is collected from Alumni on the following parameters

- Q1. How much is the depth of the course content?
- Q2. Does the curriculum is relevant and updated?
- Q3. In what way is the Curriculum applicable to employability/higher education opportunity?
- Q4. Are learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives) are enhanced?
- Q5. Whether the knowledge is sufficient to tackle the competitive world?
- Q6. Whether the syllabus exists practical exposer?
- Q7. Whether it serves current industry needs?
- Q8. How is the quality standard of the curriculum?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 2: Alumni Feedback analysis report on R20UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	78.57	21.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.79	Excellent
Q2	85.71	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q3	71.43	28.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.71	Excellent
Q4	78.57	21.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.79	Excellent
Q5	71.43	14.29	14.29	0.00	0.00	4.57	Excellent
Q6	71.43	21.43	7.14	0.00	0.00	4.64	Excellent
Q7	85.71	14.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.86	Excellent
Q8	78.57	14.29	7.14	0.00	0.00	4.71	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R20UG Curriculum for AY 2023-24

[Signature]
HoD

Head
 Department of Civil Engineering
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 (Autonomous)
 KADAPA - 516 003 (A.P.)

[Signature]
IQAC

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. mm/19
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Employer/Industry Expert on Curriculum for AY 2023-24

Feedback is collected from Employer/Industry Expert on the following parameters

- Q1. To what extent the curriculum designed is relevant and meets the requirements of the Industry?
- Q2. Is the curriculum offered by the college satisfy sufficient Technical knowledge for the Profession?
- Q3. Will it be knowledgeable on recent tools and their usage?
- Q4. Serving the Industry needs in terms of leadership, team building and interpersonal skills.
- Q5. How extent are the practical skills?
- Q6. Are the adaptabilities to change and lifelong learning?
- Q7. Does the curriculum moulds the graduates with right attitude, values and ethics?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 3: Employer/Industry Expert Feedback analysis report on R20UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q2	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q3	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q4	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q5	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q6	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q7	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



**Feedback Analysis of Employer/Industry Expert on R20UG Curriculum for
AY 2023-24**

[Signature]

HoD

Head

Department of Civil Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 (Autonomous)
 KADAPA - 516 003. (A.P.)

[Signature]

IQAC

Co-ordinator

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P.)

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Teacher on Curriculum for AY 2023-24

Feedback is collected from Teacher on the following parameters

- Q1. Whether the curriculum builds necessary skills and achieves course objectives?
- Q2. By what means! the curriculum is applicable or relevance to real life situations?
- Q3. Are the learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives) are achieved?
- Q4. Is life skills education and value added courses are offered to enrich the curriculum?
- Q5. Is content relevant to the requirements of your profession?
- Q6. Does the curriculum fulfil local, national and global needs?
- Q7. How well-suited are the curriculum's assessment and evaluation processes?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 4: Teacher Feedback analysis report on R20UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	82.35	17.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.82	Excellent
Q2	94.12	5.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.94	Excellent
Q3	88.24	11.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	Excellent
Q4	82.35	17.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.82	Excellent
Q5	88.24	11.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	Excellent
Q6	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q7	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Teacher on R20UG Curriculum for AY 2023-24

[Signature]
HoD

Head
Department of Civil Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
(Autonomous)
KADAPA - 516 003. (A.P.)


[Signature]
IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P.)

**K.S.R.M COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
(AUTONOMOUS)**



**DEPARTMENT OF EEE
FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM
AY 2023-24**



K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(UGC-AUTONOMOUS)

Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh, India- 516 003

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUA,
Ananthapuramu

An ISO 14001:2004 & 9001: 2015 Certified Institution

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Stakeholders Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2023-24

The Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering collects feedback from its stakeholders to monitor and evaluate its performance quality on curriculum and curriculum related issues. The feedback forms were collected from students, Alumni, Employer/Industry expert and Teacher on several aspects of curriculum and its learning related issues in terms of quality, competence, skills and professionalism. The report of feedback analysis is submitted to IQAC for necessary action and thereafter the analysis is shared with BoS Committee to take necessary measures and actions as to comply with the issues raised by the Stakeholders in New Curriculum.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
DETAILED FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT

Feedback Analysis of Students on Curriculum for AY 2023-24

Feedback is collected from students on the following parameters

- Q1. Whether the Curriculum covers latest developments in the field and generates interest?
- Q2. Does curriculum meets local, national and global development needs?
- Q3. How well the curriculum integrates entrepreneurship, employability and skill development?
- Q4. Is curriculum incorporates professional ethics, human values, environment and sustainability?
- Q5. Are the cross-cutting contemporary issues are addressed through value added courses?
- Q6. Does the Institution takes proactive interest in promoting internship/student exchange/field visit opportunities for students?
- Q7. Are the assessment and evaluation procedures are adequate for the curriculum?
- Q8. Whether ICT tools, LMS and learning resources are effectively used for curriculum delivery?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Student Feedback analysis report on R20UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	70.73	24.39	4.88	0.00	0.00	4.66	Excellent
Q2	75.61	21.95	2.44	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q3	68.29	26.83	4.88	0.00	0.00	4.63	Excellent
Q4	73.17	19.51	7.32	0.00	0.00	4.66	Excellent
Q5	70.73	21.95	7.32	0.00	0.00	4.63	Excellent
Q6	65.85	29.27	4.88	0.00	0.00	4.61	Excellent
Q7	78.05	19.51	2.44	0.00	0.00	4.76	Excellent
Q8	70.73	26.83	2.44	0.00	0.00	4.68	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Students on R20UG Curriculum for AY 2023-24

[Handwritten Signature]

HoD

HEAD

Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering
S.R.M. College of Engineering
Kadapa -516003.

[Handwritten Signature]

IQAC

Co-ordinator

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

[Handwritten Signature]

PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL

K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Alumni on Curriculum for AY 2023-24

Feedback is collected from Alumni on the following parameters

- Q1. How much is the depth of the course content?
- Q2. Does the curriculum is relevant and updated?
- Q3. In what way is the Curriculum applicable to employability/higher education opportunity?
- Q4. Are learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives) are enhanced?
- Q5. Whether the knowledge is sufficient to tackle the competitive world?
- Q6. Whether the syllabus exists practical exposer?
- Q7. Whether it serves current industry needs?
- Q8. How is the quality standard of the curriculum?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 2: Alumni Feedback analysis report on R20UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	72.73	27.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q2	81.82	18.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.82	Excellent
Q3	72.73	18.18	9.09	0.00	0.00	4.64	Excellent
Q4	81.82	18.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.82	Excellent
Q5	72.73	27.27	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.73	Excellent
Q6	90.91	9.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.91	Excellent
Q7	81.82	18.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.82	Excellent
Q8	72.73	18.18	9.09	0.00	0.00	4.64	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R20UG Curriculum for AY 2023-24

D. S. Myrathu
HoD

HEAD
Department of Electrical &
Electronics Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
Kadapa -516003.

[Signature]

IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Murthy
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Employer/Industry Expert on Curriculum for AY 2023-24

Feedback is collected from Employer/Industry Expert on the following parameters

- Q1. To what extent the curriculum designed is relevant and meets the requirements of the Industry?
- Q2. Is the curriculum offered by the college satisfy sufficient Technical knowledge for the Profession?
- Q3. Will it be knowledgeable on recent tools and their usage?
- Q4. Serving the Industry needs in terms of leadership, team building and interpersonal skills.
- Q5. How extent are the practical skills?
- Q6. Are the adaptabilities to change and lifelong learning?
- Q7. Does the curriculum moulds the graduates with right attitude, values and ethics?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 3: Employer/Industry Expert Feedback analysis report on R20UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q2	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q3	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q4	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q5	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q6	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q7	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



**Feedback Analysis of Employer/Industry Expert on R20UG Curriculum for
AY 2023-24**

D. S. Prasad
HoD

HEAD
**Department of Electrical &
Electronics Engineering**
S.R.M. College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516003.

[Signature]

IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. M. M. G.
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Teacher on Curriculum for AY 2023-24

Feedback is collected from Teacher on the following parameters

- Q1. Whether the curriculum builds necessary skills and achieves course objectives?
- Q2. By what means! the curriculum is applicable or relevance to real life situations?
- Q3. Are the learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives) are achieved?
- Q4. Is life skills education and value added courses are offered to enrich the curriculum?
- Q5. Is content relevant to the requirements of your profession?
- Q6. Does the curriculum fulfil local, national and global needs?
- Q7. How well-suited are the curriculum's assessment and evaluation processes?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 4: Teacher Feedback analysis report on R20UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q2	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q3	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q4	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q5	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q6	91.67	8.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.92	Excellent
Q7	91.67	8.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.92	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Teacher on R20UG Curriculum for AY 2023-24

(Signature)
HoD


HEAD
Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516003.

(Signature)

IQAC
Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Mm/4
PRINCIPAL
PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516003 (A.P)

**K.S.R.M COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
(AUTONOMOUS)**



**DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING
FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM
AY 2023-24**



K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(UGC-AUTONOMOUS)

Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh, India- 516 003

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUA,
Ananthapuramu

An ISO 14001:2004 & 9001: 2015 Certified Institution

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Stakeholders Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2023-24

The Department of Mechanical Engineering collects feedback from its stakeholders to monitor and evaluate its performance quality on curriculum and curriculum related issues. The feedback forms were collected from students, Alumni, Employer/Industry expert and Teacher on several aspects of curriculum and its learning related issues in terms of quality, competence, skills and professionalism. The report of feedback analysis is submitted to IQAC for necessary action and thereafter the analysis is shared with BoS Committee to take necessary measures and actions as to comply with the issues raised by the Stakeholders in New Curriculum.

**DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING
DETAILED FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT**

Feedback Analysis of Students on Curriculum for AY 2023-24

Feedback is collected from students on the following parameters

- Q1. Whether the Curriculum covers latest developments in the field and generates interest?
- Q2. Does curriculum meets local, national and global development needs?
- Q3. How well the curriculum integrates entrepreneurship, employability and skill development?
- Q4. Is curriculum incorporates professional ethics, human values, environment and sustainability?
- Q5. Are the cross-cutting contemporary issues are addressed through value added courses?
- Q6. Does the Institution takes proactive interest in promoting internship/student exchange/field visit opportunities for students?
- Q7. Are the assessment and evaluation procedures are adequate for the curriculum?
- Q8. Whether ICT tools, LMS and learning resources are effectively used for curriculum delivery?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Student Feedback analysis report on R20UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	61.76	23.53	14.71	0.00	0.00	4.47	Excellent
Q2	57.35	20.59	17.65	4.41	0.00	4.31	Excellent
Q3	51.47	26.47	17.65	4.41	0.00	4.25	Excellent
Q4	60.29	25.00	11.76	2.94	0.00	4.43	Excellent
Q5	52.94	27.94	13.24	5.88	0.00	4.28	Excellent
Q6	61.76	20.59	17.65	0.00	0.00	4.44	Excellent
Q7	63.24	23.53	10.29	2.94	0.00	4.47	Excellent
Q8	57.35	22.06	16.18	4.41	0.00	4.32	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Students on R20UG Curriculum for AY 2023-24

[Signature]
HoD

Professor & head
Department of Mechanical Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
KADAPA - 516 003.

[Signature]
IQAC

Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

V.S.S. mm/19
PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Alumni on Curriculum for AY 2023-24

Feedback is collected from Alumni on the following parameters

- Q1. How much is the depth of the course content?
- Q2. Does the curriculum is relevant and updated?
- Q3. In what way is the Curriculum applicable to employability/higher education opportunity?
- Q4. Are learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives) are enhanced?
- Q5. Whether the knowledge is sufficient to tackle the competitive world?
- Q6. Whether the syllabus exists practical exposer?
- Q7. Whether it serves current industry needs?
- Q8. How is the quality standard of the curriculum?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 2: Alumni Feedback analysis report on R20UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q2	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q3	66.67	25.00	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.58	Excellent
Q4	91.67	8.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.92	Excellent
Q5	83.33	8.33	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q6	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q7	66.67	25.00	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.58	Excellent
Q8	75.00	16.67	8.33	0.00	0.00	4.67	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R20UG Curriculum for AY 2023-24

[Handwritten Signature]
HoD

Professor & head
 Department of Mechanical Engineering
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 KADAPA - 516 003.

[Handwritten Signature]

IQAC

Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

[Handwritten Signature]

PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Employer/Industry Expert on Curriculum for AY 2023-24

Feedback is collected from Employer/Industry Expert on the following parameters

- Q1. To what extent the curriculum designed is relevant and meets the requirements of the Industry?
- Q2. Is the curriculum offered by the college satisfy sufficient Technical knowledge for the Profession?
- Q3. Will it be knowledgeable on recent tools and their usage?
- Q4. Serving the Industry needs in terms of leadership, team building and interpersonal skills.
- Q5. How extent are the practical skills?
- Q6. Are the adaptabilities to change and lifelong learning?
- Q7. Does the curriculum moulds the graduates with right attitude, values and ethics?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 3: Employer/Industry Expert Feedback analysis report on R20UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q2	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q3	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q4	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q5	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q6	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q7	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



**Feedback Analysis of Employer/Industry Expert on R20UG Curriculum for
AY 2023-24**

[Handwritten Signature]

HoD

**Professor & head
Department of Mechanical Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
KADAPA - 516 003.**

[Handwritten Signature]

IQAC

**Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003**

[Handwritten Signature]

PRINCIPAL

**PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)**

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Teacher on Curriculum for AY 2023-24

Feedback is collected from Teacher on the following parameters

- Q1. Whether the curriculum builds necessary skills and achieves course objectives?
- Q2. By what means! the curriculum is applicable or relevance to real life situations?
- Q3. Are the learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives) are achieved?
- Q4. Is life skills education and value added courses are offered to enrich the curriculum?
- Q5. Is content relevant to the requirements of your profession?
- Q6. Does the curriculum fulfil local, national and global needs?
- Q7. How well-suited are the curriculum's assessment and evaluation processes?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 4: Teacher Feedback analysis report on R20UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	88.89	11.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.89	Excellent
Q2	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q3	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q4	88.89	11.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.89	Excellent
Q5	88.89	11.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.89	Excellent
Q6	94.44	5.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.94	Excellent
Q7	94.44	5.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.94	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Teacher on R20UG Curriculum for AY 2023-24

[Handwritten Signature]

HoD

Professor & head

**Department of Mechanical Engineering
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
KADAPA - 516 003.**

[Handwritten Signature]

IQAC

Co-ordinator

**Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003**


[Handwritten Signature]

PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL

**K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)**

**K.S.R.M COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
(AUTONOMOUS)**



**DEPARTMENT OF ECE
FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM
AY 2023-24**



K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(UGC-AUTONOMOUS)

Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh, India- 516 003

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUA,
Ananthapuramu

An ISO 14001:2004 & 9001: 2015 Certified Institution

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Stakeholders Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2023-24

The Department of Electronics & Communication Engineering collects feedback from its stakeholders to monitor and evaluate its performance quality on curriculum and curriculum related issues. The feedback forms were collected from students, Alumni, Employer/Industry expert and Teacher on several aspects of curriculum and its learning related issues in terms of quality, competence, skills and professionalism. The report of feedback analysis is submitted to IQAC for necessary action and thereafter the analysis is shared with BoS Committee to take necessary measures and actions as to comply with the issues raised by the Stakeholders in New Curriculum.

**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING
DETAILED FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT**

Feedback Analysis of Students on Curriculum for AY 2023-24

Feedback is collected from students on the following parameters

- Q1. Whether the Curriculum covers latest developments in the field and generates interest?
- Q2. Does curriculum meets local, national and global development needs?
- Q3. How well the curriculum integrates entrepreneurship, employability and skill development?
- Q4. Is curriculum incorporates professional ethics, human values, environment and sustainability?
- Q5. Are the cross-cutting contemporary issues are addressed through value added courses?
- Q6. Does the Institution takes proactive interest in promoting internship/student exchange/field visit opportunities for students?
- Q7. Are the assessment and evaluation procedures are adequate for the curriculum?
- Q8. Whether ICT tools, LMS and learning resources are effectively used for curriculum delivery?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)


Table 1: Student Feedback analysis report on R20UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	64.06	24.22	9.38	2.34	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q2	68.75	15.63	12.50	3.13	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q3	67.97	17.97	10.16	3.91	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q4	70.31	16.41	11.72	1.56	0.00	4.55	Excellent
Q5	63.28	17.97	16.41	2.34	0.00	4.42	Excellent
Q6	67.97	17.97	10.16	3.91	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q7	60.94	20.31	17.19	1.56	0.00	4.41	Excellent
Q8	61.72	22.66	13.28	2.34	0.00	4.44	Excellent


Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Students on R20UG Curriculum for AY 2023-24


HoD
 Professor & H.O.D.
 Department of E.C.E.
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 KADAPA - 516 003


IQAC
 Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSR M College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003


PRINCIPAL
 PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Alumni on Curriculum for AY 2023-24

Feedback is collected from Alumni on the following parameters

- Q1. How much is the depth of the course content?
- Q2. Does the curriculum is relevant and updated?
- Q3. In what way is the Curriculum applicable to employability/higher education opportunity?
- Q4. Are learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives) are enhanced?
- Q5. Whether the knowledge is sufficient to tackle the competitive world?
- Q6. Whether the syllabus exists practical exposer?
- Q7. Whether it serves current industry needs?
- Q8. How is the quality standard of the curriculum?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)


Table 2: Alumni Feedback analysis report on R20UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q2	81.25	18.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.81	Excellent
Q3	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q4	62.50	25.00	12.50	0.00	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q5	62.50	18.75	18.75	0.00	0.00	4.44	Excellent
Q6	68.75	18.75	12.50	0.00	0.00	4.56	Excellent
Q7	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q8	75.00	12.50	12.50	0.00	0.00	4.63	Excellent


Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R20UG Curriculum for AY 2023-24


HoD
 Professor & H.O.D.
 Department of E.C.E.
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 KADAPA - 516 003


IQAC
 Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003


PRINCIPAL
 PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Employer/Industry Expert on Curriculum for AY 2023-24

Feedback is collected from Employer/Industry Expert on the following parameters

- Q1. To what extent the curriculum designed is relevant and meets the requirements of the Industry?
- Q2. Is the curriculum offered by the college satisfy sufficient Technical knowledge for the Profession?
- Q3. Will it be knowledgeable on recent tools and their usage?
- Q4. Serving the Industry needs in terms of leadership, team building and interpersonal skills.
- Q5. How extent are the practical skills?
- Q6. Are the adaptabilities to change and lifelong learning?
- Q7. Does the curriculum moulds the graduates with right attitude, values and ethics?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 3: Employer/Industry Expert Feedback analysis report on R20UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q2	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q3	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q4	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q5	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q6	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q7	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



**Feedback Analysis of Employer/Industry Expert on R20UG Curriculum for
AY 2023-24**

G. H. M.
Professor & H.O.D.
Department of E.C.E.
K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
KADAPA - 516 003

[Signature]
IQAC
Co-ordinator
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
KSRM College of Engineering
Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. Mmly
PRINCIPAL
PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Teacher on Curriculum for AY 2023-24

Feedback is collected from Teacher on the following parameters

- Q1. Whether the curriculum builds necessary skills and achieves course objectives?
- Q2. By what means! the curriculum is applicable or relevance to real life situations?
- Q3. Are the learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives) are achieved?
- Q4. Is life skills education and value added courses are offered to enrich the curriculum?
- Q5. Is content relevant to the requirements of your profession?
- Q6. Does the curriculum fulfil local, national and global needs?
- Q7. How well-suited are the curriculum's assessment and evaluation processes?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)


Table 4: Teacher Feedback analysis report on R20UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	86.96	13.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.87	Excellent
Q2	91.30	8.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.91	Excellent
Q3	82.61	17.39	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q4	82.61	17.39	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.83	Excellent
Q5	86.96	13.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.87	Excellent
Q6	91.30	8.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.91	Excellent
Q7	95.65	4.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.96	Excellent


Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good




Feedback Analysis of Teacher on R20UG Curriculum for AY 2023-24


HoD
 Prof. G. H. H. & H.O.D.
 Department of E.C.E.
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 KADAPA - 516 003



IQAC
 Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003


PRINCIPAL
 PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

**K.S.R.M COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
(AUTONOMOUS)**



**DEPARTMENT OF CSE
FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM
AY 2023-24**



K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

(UGC-AUTONOMOUS)

Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh, India- 516 003

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUA,
Ananthapuramu

An ISO 14001:2004 & 9001: 2015 Certified Institution

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Stakeholders Feedback Analysis on Curriculum for the AY 2023-24

The Department of Computer Science and Engineering collects feedback from its stakeholders to monitor and evaluate its performance quality on curriculum and curriculum related issues. The feedback forms were collected from students, Alumni, Employer/Industry expert and Teacher on several aspects of curriculum and its learning related issues in terms of quality, competence, skills and professionalism. The report of feedback analysis is submitted to IQAC for necessary action and thereafter the analysis is shared with BoS Committee to take necessary measures and actions as to comply with the issues raised by the Stakeholders in New Curriculum.

**DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
DETAILED FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT**

Feedback Analysis of Students on Curriculum for AY 2023-24

Feedback is collected from students on the following parameters

- Q1. Whether the Curriculum covers latest developments in the field and generates interest?
- Q2. Does curriculum meets local, national and global development needs?
- Q3. How well the curriculum integrates entrepreneurship, employability and skill development?
- Q4. Is curriculum incorporates professional ethics, human values, environment and sustainability?
- Q5. Are the cross-cutting contemporary issues are addressed through value added courses?
- Q6. Does the Institution takes proactive interest in promoting internship/student exchange/field visit opportunities for students?
- Q7. Are the assessment and evaluation procedures are adequate for the curriculum?
- Q8. Whether ICT tools, LMS and learning resources are effectively used for curriculum delivery?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 1: Student Feedback analysis report on R20UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	64.83	22.07	10.34	2.76	0.00	4.49	Excellent
Q2	64.14	19.31	12.41	4.14	0.00	4.43	Excellent
Q3	61.38	21.38	13.79	3.45	0.00	4.41	Excellent
Q4	69.66	14.48	13.10	2.76	0.00	4.51	Excellent
Q5	65.52	20.69	11.72	2.07	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q6	60.00	18.62	17.93	3.45	0.00	4.35	Excellent
Q7	71.03	15.17	11.03	2.76	0.00	4.54	Excellent
Q8	66.21	20.00	11.72	2.07	0.00	4.50	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥4	Excellent
4 < Avg.Rating ≤ 3	Very good
3 < Avg.rating ≤ 2	Good



Feedback Analysis of Students on R20UG Curriculum for AY 2023-24

Dr. V. Lokeshwara Reddy

HoD

Dr. V. LOKESWARA REDDY
 M.Tech., Ph.D.,
 Professor & HOD CSE
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering (Autonomous)
 KADAPA - 516 005.

[Signature]

IQAC

Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

V. S. S. mm19

PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P.)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Alumni on Curriculum for AY 2023-24

Feedback is collected from Alumni on the following parameters

- Q1. How much is the depth of the course content?
- Q2. Does the curriculum is relevant and updated?
- Q3. In what way is the Curriculum applicable to employability/higher education opportunity?
- Q4. Are learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives) are enhanced?
- Q5. Whether the knowledge is sufficient to tackle the competitive world?
- Q6. Whether the syllabus exists practical exposer?
- Q7. Whether it serves current industry needs?
- Q8. How is the quality standard of the curriculum?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)

Table 2: Alumni Feedback analysis report on R20UG curriculum

Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q2	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q3	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.75	Excellent
Q4	68.75	25.00	6.25	0.00	0.00	4.63	Excellent
Q5	68.75	18.75	12.50	0.00	0.00	4.56	Excellent
Q6	68.75	18.75	12.50	0.00	0.00	4.56	Excellent
Q7	62.50	25.00	12.50	0.00	0.00	4.50	Excellent
Q8	75.00	18.75	6.25	0.00	0.00	4.69	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Alumni on R20UG Curriculum for AY 2023-24

[Handwritten Signature]

Dr. V. LOKESWARA REDDY
 HoD
 M.Tech., Ph.D.
 Professor & HOD CSE
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering (Autonomous)
 KADAPA - 516 005

[Handwritten Signature]

IQAC
 Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

[Handwritten Signature]

PRINCIPAL
 PRINCIPAL
 K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Employer/Industry Expert on Curriculum for AY 2023-24

Feedback is collected from Employer/Industry Expert on the following parameters

- Q1. To what extent the curriculum designed is relevant and meets the requirements of the Industry?
- Q2. Is the curriculum offered by the college satisfy sufficient Technical knowledge for the Profession?
- Q3. Will it be knowledgeable on recent tools and their usage?
- Q4. Serving the Industry needs in terms of leadership, team building and interpersonal skills.
- Q5. How extent are the practical skills?
- Q6. Are the adaptabilities to change and lifelong learning?
- Q7. Does the curriculum moulds the graduates with right attitude, values and ethics?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)


Table 3: Employer/Industry Expert Feedback analysis report on R20UG curriculum


Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q2	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q3	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.60	Excellent
Q4	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q5	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	Excellent
Q6	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q7	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent

Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \leq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \leq 2$	Good



**Feedback Analysis of Employer/Industry Expert on R20UG Curriculum for
AY 2023-24**


HoD
DR. V. LOKESHWARA REDDY
 Tech. Ph.D.
 Professor & Head
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 KADAPA - 516 005.


IQAC
 Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003

V. s. s. mmlg
PRINCIPAL
 PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (K.P)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Feedback Analysis of Teacher on Curriculum for AY 2023-24

Feedback is collected from Teacher on the following parameters

- Q1. Whether the curriculum builds necessary skills and achieves course objectives?
- Q2. By what means! the curriculum is applicable or relevance to real life situations?
- Q3. Are the learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives) are achieved?
- Q4. Is life skills education and value added courses are offered to enrich the curriculum?
- Q5. Is content relevant to the requirements of your profession?
- Q6. Does the curriculum fulfil local, national and global needs?
- Q7. How well-suited are the curriculum's assessment and evaluation processes?


The categorization of rating is as follows: Excellent, Very good, Good, Satisfactory, and Poor

Feedback analysis is carried based on Average Rating: Excellent (5), Very good (4), Good (3), Satisfactory (2), and Poor (1)


Table 4: Teacher Feedback analysis report on R20UG curriculum


Q.No	PERCENTAGE WISE RESPONSE					Avg. Rating	Grade
	Excellent(5)	Very Good(4)	Good(3)	Satisfactory(2)	Poor(1)		
Q1	84.00	16.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.84	Excellent
Q2	84.00	16.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.84	Excellent
Q3	88.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	Excellent
Q4	84.00	16.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.84	Excellent
Q5	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80	Excellent
Q6	84.00	16.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.84	Excellent
Q7	88.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.88	Excellent


Average Rating	Grade
≥ 4	Excellent
$4 < \text{Avg. Rating} \geq 3$	Very good
$3 < \text{Avg. rating} \geq 2$	Good



Feedback Analysis of Teacher on R20UG Curriculum for AY 2023-24


Dr. V. LOKESWARA REDDY
 HoD
 Professor & HOD CSE
 K.S.R.M. College of Engineering (Autonomous)
 KADAPA - 516 003


IQAC
 Co-ordinator
 M.Tech, Ph.D., Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 KSRM College of Engineering
 Kadapa - 516 003


V. S. S. Mmly
PRINCIPAL
 PRINCIPAL
K.S.R.M. COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 KADAPA-516005 (A.P)